British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
JUNE 1988
20p

IMMIGRATION FIGURES

GOVERMENT LIES EXPOSED

NEW FIGURES recently released by the Home Office show that more immigrants are now entering Britain than for some years. In fact, the number of immigrants granted citizenship during last year was 19,000 up on the number for 1986. Indeed, citizenship grants reached their highest since 1984, when new deadlines on registration resulted in 70,000 people taking out full citizenship.

These latest figures show that nearly 65,000 people registered as citizens in 1987. These figures were publicised in a report in *The Daily Mail* on the 12th May. In the same report it was stated that registrations are now being speeded up by means of the computerisation of registration procedures. In other words, we can probably expect yet faster rates of acceptance of new immigrants now that bureaucracy has been modernised!

According to the *Mail* report, more than half of those newly registered were from Commonwealth countries. Add to these the people coming from Pakistan (not in the Commonwealth since 1972) and the large numbers of entries from Middle Eastern countries, and it it becomes clear that the vast majority of those being admitted as citizens are **non-white**.

MANIFESTO HOAX

All this is in flagrant contradiction to the assurances and promises regarding immigration that were given in the Tory Party manifesto issued just before the 1987 general election, in which it was claimed that "Immigration for settlement is now at its lowest level since control of Commonwealth immigration first began in 1962..." In the same section of that manifesto it was also stated that "We will tighten the existing law to ensure that the control over settlement becomes even more effective."

MRS. THATCHER
She lied and deceived in order to win a third term

This claim and promise have in fact been now proved by the latest figures to be downright **lies**. In *British Nationalist* of August last year we reported this untruth, again quoting from *The Daily Mail*, which in the previous month had stated that "record numbers are still flocking to live in Britain." This conclusion was reached after examination of figures provided by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. We stated at the time that, as these facts and figures showed, Mrs. Thatcher and Co. had lied to the people at election time. This is now doubly underlined by the latest figures released by the Home Office itself. In other words, one department of the Tory government is now directly contradicting what was set out in the government's own manifesto a year ago!

ICEBERG OF DECEIT

It is our belief in fact that these lies represent only the tip of a massive iceberg of deceit with which the present government, and its predecessors of both Tory and Labour, have tried to pull the wool over the eyes of the British people concerning the numbers of immigrants entering the country and the size of the ethnic minority population already here.

Remember that these figures do not include the numbers of immigrants not registering as citizens, nor indeed those who have entered illegally. Perhaps most important of all, they do not include the huge numbers of children now being born to mothers of ethnic minority background living in Britain.

For the past 20 years or so, governments and mass media have been maintaining that the ethnic minority population of Britain is approximately 2½ million. The mere rate of new arrivals during those 20 years — plus the rate of ethnic minority births during the same time, these vastly exceeding the number of ethnic minority deaths — make it clear that the true size of the ethnic minority population is **much higher** than supposed, also that **far, far more** immigrants are entering Britain each year than is claimed by the politicians.

The darkening of Britain, and the progressive elimination of the ethnic character of the British people, is taking place by a process of **stealth** and of **fraud** — the politicians all the time denying publicly what they are doing in reality. A massive **lie** is being put over on the British people. One day, those responsible for this lie will be brought to book. In the meantime, it is only by reading so-called 'fringe' papers like our own that the people can ascertain the true facts.

We have said it before and we say it again. This act of national treason must be reversed: **Immigration of all non-Whites must be STOPPED; and those now here must be REPATRIATED.** This is the only effective way to achieve racial harmony, social peace and — most important of all — **the preservation of the British Nation!**



THE WORLD'S DUMPING GROUND
Our political leaders seem to see no limit to the number of immigrants Britain can take in

IMMIGRATION AND RACE RELATIONS

Immigration for settlement is now at its lowest level since control of Commonwealth immigration first began in 1962. Firm but fair immigration controls are essential for harmonious and improving community relations.

We will tighten the existing law to ensure that the control over settlement becomes even more effective.

THE PROMISES AND THE FACTS
Above: what the Tories said in their election manifesto last year; below: what they are admitting today

More join rush to be British

THE number of immigrants granted British citizenship last year was 19,000 up on 1986, the latest Home Office figures show.

The total rose to nearly 65,000 as more immigrants already settled here exercised their right to register as citizens and computerisation speeded up Home Office procedures.

Citizenship grants reached their highest since 1984, when new deadlines on registration encouraged 70,000 people to take out full citizenship.

Nearly 40,000 of the new passport holders won citizenship as residents. Another 16,000 qualified after marrying Britons.

More than half were from Commonwealth nations, including 22,000 former citizens of West Indian countries.

Among other foreign nationals, 1,500 Iranians were granted citizenship.

Amritsar? No, Wolverhampton!

NO, the scene pictured on the right here is not from somewhere in the Punjab; it is Wolverhampton — in 1988!

It is a section of a march of 5,000 Sikhs through the West Midlands town, held to celebrate the opening of a new £500,000 temple there.

5,000? Yes, 5,000.

But that is not all. According to the report of the event in the local 'Express and Star', the money was raised through donations by the town's 20,000-strong Sikh community.

20,000? Yes, 20,000.

And that represents just the Sikhs alone. What of the Hindus, the Muslims, the Buddhists and other Asian religious groups? What of the West Indians? How many are there of these groups? All in Wolverhampton!

British
Nationalist

No. 80 JUNE 1988
Editor: John Morse

KEEP OUR INDUSTRY BRITISH!

CURRENTLY, there is a big debate raging over whether Rowntree's chocolate manufacturers should be allowed to be taken over by either of the Swiss chocolate giants, Nestles or Suchard. This controversy is not new; it is merely a replay of the same controversy surrounding Westland Helicopters and various divisions of British Leyland. Should nationalism — the desire to preserve British industry under home ownership — be allowed to prevail over the natural forces of the economic free market, which do not recognise national frontiers?

The dominant view in 'establishment' quarters — the government, the Tory press and the City — is that economic nationalism, like all other forms of nationalism, is out of date. 'Free market' principles should be allowed to rule. And to support this line of argument, we are often reminded that British ownership of companies abroad is much larger than foreign ownership of companies in Britain.

This paper profoundly disagrees with that line of reasoning.

Just where has the 'free market' economic philosophy got British industry? Huge slices of it have been destroyed by the uncontrolled flood of imported goods into this country which could, and should, have been made in British factories. Yet more slices have been destroyed by the closure, by overseas owners, of British branches of multi-national companies. In addition to this, vast amounts of British investment capital that could, and should, have been channelled into British manufacturing industry have gone abroad to help our international competitors.

We are not consoled by the fact that British ownership of industry abroad is larger than foreign ownership of industry in Britain. That ownership of industry abroad does not provide jobs for British people; it does the reverse: it kills jobs in this country.

Nor does foreign capital provide jobs in Britain that could not be better provided by the British capital that at present is being invested overseas. British companies, based in Britain, can be subjected to a measure of British government supervision, designed to ensure that they operate in the overall British economic interest; the same kind of supervision cannot be exercised over foreign-owned multi-nationals, who can take their capital where they please.

But these are merely the economic arguments against foreign take-overs. There is a further dimension to the whole question, and it is the most important of all.

Should we not regard our great manufacturing companies as FAMILY ASSETS, part of our own inheritance? British people have worked to make them what they have become. They are part of our national estate. It should be our deepest instinct to retain them in the family. It is not just a matter of economics; it is a matter of INDEPENDENCE and PRIDE.

Such sentiments are of course strange to modern-day Tories, to whom all assets are merely commodities to be bought and sold in the market place. One has the feeling with Mrs. Thatcher and Co. that if Britain itself were to become subject to a lucrative foreign take-over bid they would enthusiastically endorse it. To

Contd. on back page

EUROPE
MRS THATCHER'S EMPTY POSE

The Prime Minister makes anti-EEC noises but does nothing to stop the onward march of the great Euro-tyranny

SINCE BECOMING PREMIER, Mrs. Thatcher has frequently tried to pass herself off in public as a 'defender' of Britain's national sovereignty against the encroachments of the European Economic Community and the creeping Euro-tyranny directed from Brussels by the bureaucrats of the EEC Commission.

Now she finds herself in a Cabinet tiff with her two senior ministers, Chancellor Nigel Lawson and Foreign Secretary Sir Geoffrey Howe, nominally over the value of the Pound. Mrs. Thatcher is said to favour allowing the foreign exchange rate of the Pound to be determined by the free operation of the international currency market — which means that it will continue to rise.

Lawson and Howe have proposed that the Pound should be stabilised. To this end, Howe is now suggesting that Britain should join the European monetary system, whereby the international value of her currency would be fixed within definite limits against those of the other EEC nations. This would be a preliminary to full monetary union and ultimately to a single European currency.

Howe's proposal coincides with the public launching of yet another major step towards what is called full European 'integration' — meaning the abolition of Britain and the other European nations, and their absorption into a European 'super-state'. It is intended that by 1992 the last trade barriers between EEC member nations will go, so that those nations will no longer be able to protect their home industries even to the small extent that they do now.

POWER TO THE BANKERS!

The whole set-up is designed to serve only one final purpose: the centring of all power in the hands of the international mega-bankers and mega-capitalists, who alone stand to profit from the destruction of national frontiers and the promotion of a one-world system, towards which the EEC is merely a stepping stone.

Once again, Mrs. Thatcher is trying to appear as the 'champion' of British 'independence' from the EEC in her tussle with her Cabinet colleagues. Sensing the great public hostility that rightly exists in Britain against the EEC and all its works, the Tory press and publicity machine has long made a big deal of how Mrs. Thatcher has supposedly been ''getting Britain's money back'' from the European Community budget.

The British taxpayer and consumer have indeed been paying enormous sums into the EEC budget. Much of this money has been poured into financing such schemes as the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), which massively subsidises the farmers of France and Italy, leading to such things as the butter mountains and wine lakes that we have heard so much about over the fifteen years that have passed since Edward Heath's Tory Government took us into the Common Market.

Since then, Britain has not received financial benefits from the EEC which anything like match the contributions she has been forced to make under he rules of membership. Set against these contributions, the sums that Mrs. Thatcher boasts of recovering are in fact no more than a drop in the ocean.

PLAYING TO THE ANTI-E.E.C. GALLERY

To reinforce the image still further, Mrs. Thatcher goes out of her way at gatherings of the Common Market countries' prime ministers to play to the anti-EEC gallery back in Britain. She does this by making sure that she is seen as being as strident and objectionable as possible in her dealings with her continental opposite numbers. Of course, any of the European premiers might reasonably object that if Britain does not like the rules she should leave the club.

We agree.

EEC membership has never been in Britain's national interest. Whilst we are thoroughly in favour of friendship with other European nations, and deplore the senseless mutual slaughter of past European wars, with their legacy of bitterness and division, we do not believe that the imposing of 'supra-national' institutions on the countries of Europe is the true way to reach such a state of harmony.

The whole record of the EEC proves this. It has been just one long story of wrangling between the member nations over conflicting — and **inevitably** conflicting — political and economic interests. This inevitability is the result of these nations almost all having advanced and heavily industrialised economies, which are naturally going to be in a state of competition with one another for the same customers under the single-market arrangements of the Community.

BRITAIN ONTO A LOSER

Within such a set-up, Britain, as an off-shore economy on the geographical fringes of Europe, and remote from the central area of the European market (located in the Ruhr/Benelux region), stands to be particularly hard hit. In fact, the whole arrangement has increased the tendency for investment to flow out of the British economy. The same factor has also accentuated the tendency for such investment as there is in Britain to be concentrated in the south-eastern corner of our country, leaving the rest an industrial desert, hit by massive unemployment.

WITHDRAWAL THE ONLY ANSWER

Withdrawal from the EEC is the only course consistent either with Britain's interests or her honour.

But, despite all her anti-Common Market posturings, Mrs. Thatcher will not hear of such a course!

If the Premier were genuine about wishing to preserve Britain's national independence, this would be the only policy she could, with honesty and consistency, adopt. As Mrs. Thatcher well knows, as long as Britain is bound by the Treaty of Rome, the British Government and Parliament are in effect **powerless** to stop the projected Euro-tyranny from prevailing.

In fact, it is now admitted that 'initiatives' from Brussels, designed to promote European integration, are not being resisted by the Prime Minister or her Government. Also, much of the legislation recently passed in Parliament consists of nothing more than the rubber-stamping of EEC-drafted law. Mrs. Thatcher made no attempt to oppose this legislation.

In other words, Mrs. Thatcher's apparent 'stand' for Britain's interests against the EEC is nothing but an empty pose!

OUR INTERESTS LIE OUTSIDE EUROPE

The cause of Britain's national sovereignty, and her proper economic interests, can only be promoted **outside** the EEC and its 'supra-national' institutions. For a start, Britain's home market must be closed to foreign-manufactured imports and her home industries rebuilt to supply that market. The massive reconstruction and expansion of our home industries will be sufficient to abolish unemployment in Britain. Any such drive for national self-sufficiency and independence is strictly forbidden under EEC regulations.

THATCHER IN EUROPE
The Prime Minister, seen here with French ex-premier Chirac, loves to posture as a champion of British interests in the EEC. In fact she is as committed to our immersion in the coming continental 'super-state' as anyone else

As far as overseas trade is concerned, this should be conducted mainly with countries that can supply us with the raw materials needed by our industries (plus any foodstuffs which we cannot produce in adequate quantity at home), and which require manufactured goods in return. Ideally, such a trading area can be built up by re-establishing close relations with the countries of the White Commonwealth: Australia, New Zealand and Canada. In addition to these countries, South Africa could provide a highly profitable trading partner for us if we put an end to the ridiculous policy of British governments interfering in a hostile manner in that country's internal affairs.

Near silence greets attack on lone white man by Asian mob

Nicholas Marsom, head shaved and scarred after the attack

Warning as hammer thugs strike

A SAVAGE attack by a gang of 25 youths has left Nicholas Marsom scarred, bruised . . . but ready to warn the public of the dangers of walking the streets after dark.

The 26-year-old was returning home after seeing a friend when he approached a crowd of Asian youngsters in Stepney Way, Stepney.

He crossed the road to avoid them and two of them tracked him then beat him senseless with a hammer.

Dazed, Nicholas, of Wellesley Street, Stepney, staggered to his feet and waved down a van which whisked him off to the London Hospital, Whitechapel.

FRACTURE

"If it hadn't been for the van I might be dead now," said Nicholas, now recovering from the attack, which left him with a depressed fracture of the skull.

"Now I am worried for other people that they might get hurt."

"The attack was totally unprovoked and I would like to warn other people of the dangers.

"When going out and walking about the streets and you see anything peculiar ahead, go the other way.

"It is not worth carrying on and getting injured. The last thing I expected, when I saw the gang, was that they would attack me."

Police at Leman Street, say this was a particularly nasty attack and are treating it as grievous bodily harm.

ONLY IN LOCAL PRESS
The attack on Nicholas Marsom as reported in the
East London Advertiser

A GREAT official song and dance about so-called 'racial attacks' is currently being made by the race-mixers who control London and other big cities.

In the last issue of this paper we described how the 'Community Relations Branch' of Scotland Yard — the 'race police' set up by the establishment to intimidate Britons into submission to multi-racialism — has been publicly promoting a campaign in London against so-called 'racial harassment'. The terms of this campaign have made it pretty plain that only **non-Whites** are ever to be regarded as victims of racial attacks, whilst **Whites** are always seen as the culprits.

One of the target boroughs for this campaign has been the East London borough of Tower Hamlets. The population of this area is still predominantly British, although there is an every-growing flood of immigrants into the area, mainly Asians from Bangladesh.

VICIOUS ASSAULT

Very shortly after the launching of the campaign in the borough, a young white man fell victim to what was described in the local newspaper as a ''savage attack'' by a gang of 25 Asian youths in the Stepney area. 26-year-old NIcholas Marsom came across the crowd of Asians as he was walking down a local street.

To avoid them he crossed the road, whereupon two of them pursued him and beat him unconscious with a hammer. Staggering to his feet, Mr. Marsom waved down a van, which hurried him to hospital. He afterwards remarked: ''If it hadn't been for the van, I might have been dead now.''

This totally unprovoked attack left him with a depressed fracture of the skull.

Who can doubt that, in the atmosphere being deliberately worked up by the race-mixers, if Mr. Marsom had been coloured and his attackers white, there would have been an hysterial outcry from the pro-immigrant lobby, and big publicity given to the case in the national mass media? And of course the incident would have been given the status of a 'racial attack'!

And there would have been instant demands for the full force of the law to be brought to bear against all and any Whites who might be opposed to the mult-racial set-up. And to emphasise the point, the multi-racial militants might even have organised demonstrations in the streets by thousands of ethnic minority members to demand fresh measures by the police against so-called 'racists'.

But in the case of the vicious assault on this young white man the silence from both the powers that be and the media has been deafening.

'NO RACE ATTACK'

This was an attack from completely out of the blue by a large number of Asian youths on a lone Briton in

Contd. on back page

How 'no-go' areas in black ghettos are being given the green light

OF ONE THING there is no doubt: in so-called 'racially sensitive' areas of Britain's cities, 'no-go' areas are steadily building up — areas, that is, where the writ of the law to a large degree no longer runs.

Numerous news reports have told us that this is happening. And even former Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir Kenneth Newman has admitted the drift of what is going on in in an article written for a Sunday newspaper at the time of his retirement. Sir Kenneth confessed that the law was not being properly enforced in black ghetto areas in the same way as elsewhere.

By now everyone must know what that means. In practice, police are being restricted to the use of 'softly-softly' methods, which are pretty much the norm now in areas with a high density of coloured immigrants. The reason is, as Sir Kenneth acknowleged, the fear of riots in such localities if officers try to make arrests.

CENTRE OF CRIME

One disgraceful example of how the green light is being given for 'no-go' conditions by those in authority was recently reported from Lewisham, SE London. Ever since the 1970s, when it was first opened, the Moonshot Youth Club, located in the notorious New Cross district of the borough (a fairly typical 'racially sensitive' neighbourhood), has been known as a centre for local crime. As far back as 1977, in one of the most shameful exhibitions of multi-racialist grovelling to be engaged in by any prominent person, Prince Charles betook himself to the club in order to confer with extreme left-wing Lewisham immigrant leader Kim Gordon. His Royal Highness was pushed before the news cameras inside the club so that he could be seen publicly commiserating with Gordon about so-called 'police harassment' of local muggers and other criminals for whom the Moonshot, even then, had become a base.

Recently, the club's licence came up for renewal. Local police thought that their chance had now come to get this festering local sore closed down once and for all. The Moonshot has become the scene of regular West Indian all-night parties and massive drug-taking. It is still also a local muggers' HQ. When police have attempted to intervene there they have met open hostility from almost all concerned.

But when the licence renewal came up before Lewisham Council's Licensing Committee, infuriated police were given just five minutes by Labour Committee Chairwoman Cllr. Helen Dawson to state their case for closure! Cllr. Dawson described this as a "privilege" that she was extending to the police.

Inspector Topliss of Deptford Police used the time to reel off a whole list of crimes and illegality associated with the club, which Lewisham's Chief Superintendent had blasted for its "total disregard for the law." Inspector Topliss said that officers had not only smelt cannabis on visits to the Moonshot but had seen drugs openly displayed for sale on the floor.

All-night parties, attracting hundreds, were advertised on the radio without the necessary licences being obtained. Above all, on account of the hostility to police making enquiries at the club in the course of investigations into violent muggings, Inspector Topliss commented: "I am really concerned for the future if the Moonshot gets this licence."

DEATH THREATS

The club's chairman then pleaded with the Licensing Committee to renew the licence by saying that he had received threats to shoot him if future big-money late-night events did not go ahead! He claimed that the law with regard to the licensing of such events had been broken in the past only out of "ignorance" of it, and gave promises that none of this would happen in the future.

This was sufficient for the Moonshot to be given its licence by the Committee.

Well might Inspector Topliss and his colleague Sergeant Dick Bell have stormed out of the meeting in disgust, as they did. They are among the men who are most likely to be in the front line of any future trouble involving the club, carrying the possibly hideous consequences which have been deliberately and knowingly wished upon British society and the British people, in the name of multi-racialism, by the people who rule our country today.

LOOKING FOR VOTES

The rulers of Lewisham Council, including its Licensing Committee, are of course politicians — Labour politicians, to be precise. The local immigrant community is an important source of votes for them in future council elections. And that takes precedence, it seems, over maintaining public peace and the law of the land!

THE MOONSHOT CLUB
A headquarters for muggers and drug-pushers, it nevertheless had its licence renewed by Lewisham Council

The affair of the Moonshot Club is a public scandal which reflects disgrace on Britain.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — On Saturday, the 4th January I went to my local public house, which is called The Plough. At about 11.20 p.m. I came out to go home. As I walked up to my front door, it was very dark. That's the last thing I remember — until I woke up in hospital on Monday morning. I had been hit just above my right eye with some hard object and knocked straight out. I was then booted in the face and chest, this cracking several ribs. A friend of mine found me at about 12 o'clock lying in the gutter outside.

I was in hospital for a week. I lost quite a lot of blood and was out of work for seven weeks and for a long time afterwards was still taking pain-killers for my neck and shoulders. The doctor said that I will always have aches and pains during the winter months.

I cannot know for certain who my attackers were, but I have my suspicions that they were either Blacks or left-wingers. My nationalist views are quite well-known in the area.

This incident, however, does not deter me in the slightest but, on the contrary, only makes me more determined to continue working for the BNP, the only party in the country with pride in its people. I have obtained some leaflets from Birmingham BNP and I am going to deliver them — working alone — to every residence in Tipton, near where I live.

I hope this little story will encourage others in their determination not to be intimidated away from spreading the message of nationalism.

GRAHAM MONNES
Warley, West Midlands

Sir, — Just before the recent local elections, my friends and I witnessed a scene in a local pub which almost made us sick.

Prospective town councillors were canvassing for votes from the Afro-Asian customers in the pub. I reflected that some of my elderly friends present had known the hardships and horrors of war when they fought for this country, and with this in mind the scene I witnessed was a very sad sight.

It was Shakespeare's *Hamlet* who said: "Thus conscience makes cowards of us all." Have politicians and councillors got **any** conscience? It was agreed amongst our little circle that the immigrants were doing what our enemies in the last war could not do — they were **conquering** us!

G. JONES
Wolverhampton, West Midlands

Sir, — All credit to the *Front National* in France. Congratulations to Jean-Marie Le Pen for his performance in the first round of the French presidential elections.

It has been reported that M. Mitterand, who won the election, will be playing "his ace card" by calling a snap parliamentary election. Mitterand has told Socialist leaders that the support won by the *Front National* is a real problem that must be solved within the next three years.

Also, a dirty-tricks campaign has been planned by Chirac's Gaullists against Le Pen's candidates. A Gaullist deputy angrily vowed: "That way, in the parliamentary election we can cut the *Front National* down from the 34 seats it has now to a mere handful." He continued: "The *Front National* has made fools of us long enough. We have to kill it."

Naturally, I hope that the planned anti-*FN* campaign backfires and the nationalists increase the number of seats they have in the French parliament.

JOHN PEACOCK
Leicester

WHAT ABOUT THESE MEN'S CIVIL RIGHTS?

No public outcry by media on behalf of nationalists victimised in employment because of their politics

OUR POLITICIANS and mass media are fond of squawking in protest about violations of people's 'civil rights' (real or alleged) all over the world. Right now, with President Reagan recently visiting the Soviet Union, much attention has been focused on the 'civil rights' being denied to that country's dissidents, particularly the Jewish ones, who have become the objects of a big orchestrated worldwide campaign of sympathy. We are also always hearing about denials of 'civil rights' to people in Chile and South Africa, two countries our mass media hate.

And of course many of us will remember the wail that went up during the McCarthy era in the United States, when numerous people were deprived of their employment because of their political affiliations. That of course was absolutely shocking, and a further intrusion upon 'civil rights' of the type against which all good democrats should be on their guard.

But there have been two recent appalling cases of violation of people's civil rights right here in Britain that have passed practically unnoticed by our politicians and media. As a result, very few have ever heard about them.

Earlier this year, Richard Edmonds, a leading ember of the British National Party, was dismissed from the job he had held for several years with Cable & Wireless Ltd. He had done nothing at his place of employment to warrant such dismissal. It was decided upon by the company's chiefs after a report of Mr. Edmonds' political activities had appeared in *The Sunday Times* in which the name of the company he worked for was mentioned — totally without reason or relevance to the subject of the article.

RICHARD EDMONDS
None of the media defended his rights when he got the sack

None of the vociferous campaigners for people's 'civil rights' took up the cudgels on behalf of Richard Edmonds. None of the bleeding-heart 'liberals' in the media was outraged by his treatment. All were much too busy yammering about the fate of dissidents in the Soviet Union and elsewhere.

SACKED

Earlier, another instance of flagrant violation of civil rights had occurred. This involved another nationalist, Malcolm Skeggs, who was at the time the manager of the British National Party's bookselling department, BNP Book Service. Mr. Skeggs had a £13,500-a-year job at Lewisham Reference Library, when he was caught using the library's photocopying machine to copy out a BNP booklist. Ordinarily, a small incident like this would have resulted in a mild slap over the knuckles from his employers and an admonition not to do the same thing again. In Mr. Skeggs' case, it caused him the loss of his job — his employers, Lewisham Council, hated his politics and were only looking for an excuse to give him the sack.

Mr. Skeggs was out of work for several months, then eventually found employment, at vastly reduced salary, in a clerical capacity with the Department of Health & Social Security at Hither Green.

In time, local left-wing activists got to know of this and started organising demonstrations at Mr. Skeggs' place of work in an attempt to get him dismissed from there also. Mr. Skeggs had committed no violation whatever of DHSS employees' rules; it was simply his politics that the campaigners didn't like.

NEAR BLACKOUT BY NATIONAL NEWS MEDIA

In time this campaign came to the notice of the local press, though Mr. Skeggs' case continued to receive almost no media attention nationally. The local papers, however, far from reporting his case sympathetically, commented on the affair in tones which suggested they actually agreed with the claim that he should not be allowed to continue in his new job.

The next step by the lefties at the DHSS was to organise a strike in protest against Mr. Skeggs' employment. Then there was a march of 2,000 members of the Civil and Public Services Association, the DHSS employees' union, through South London — all against Malcolm Skeggs. By any standards, this was an event qualifying for coverage by the national news media. In fact it received very little — the 'liberals' who control our media do not like to acknowledge that such things can happen in Britain!

The disgraceful story of these two men's loss of their employment is one that shames Britain. It also reveals the utter hypocrisy of the loud protestations about the denial of people's 'civil rights' in Soviet Russia and elsewhere around the world. Our 'liberal-democrats', including Mrs. Thatcher herself, should shut up about 'civil rights' violations abroad and first clean up their own backyard. British citizens, here in the United Kingdom, are being hounded from their employment because of their political beliefs, and hardly a word is said about it. Come on, you believers in 'freedom of conscience' in this country, how about a big campaign on behalf of Richard Edmonds and Malcolm Skeggs? Don't they have rights too?

At the moment of this report going to press, it is not known whether Malcolm Skeggs will keep his job with the DHSS or not. But the frenzied campaign to get him the sack is proof enough that there are plenty of people in this country who do not believe a man has the right to work if he has certain political beliefs that are offensive to them. And, what is perhaps more to the point, we have heard no leading political figures among those who profess to believe so passionately in 'civil rights' leap to Mr. Skeggs' defence and demand his right to keep his job in this glorious 'free democracy' that is Britain in 1988!

MALCOLM SKEGGS
Dismissed from librarian's job because of his politics



GET SKEGGS!
Headline in the* South London Press *reporting victimisation campaign

Loughton BNP: all systems go!

LOUGHTON BRANCH of the British National Party held a really excellent social on the evening of Saturday, May 14th. A fine spirit of comradeship was present among those in attendance, who numbered about 70-75 — almost all local nationalists, including wives and girl friends.

The high calibre of the branch membership in this Essex town, where there is a decent white community offering fertile political ground for the BNP, was well in evidence. Among those present were the leading local activists, who have got party activities off to a flying start in the area — selling no less than 600 copies of one issue of our paper on the doorsteps of their locality **in a single month**!

This represents a record achievement so far in this particular field.

Conversation among those present at the social centred on the further promotion of the BNP in the Loughton area and beyond, and the expansion of the activities of the branch, whose leading members have inspired a spirit of enthusiasm and determination.

Not resting on their laurels, Loughton activists are now planning a coach trip to Clacton, to take place on Sunday, the 26th June. The cost per head will be £8.50 return (£7.50 for card-holding members of the BNP). Children can travel free. These costs will include a large picnic lunch. Weather permitting, the day's activities will include football matches, rounders, cricket, swimming, boating (the branch has its own speedboat), dinner-time refreshments at a selected local pub and the mentioned picnic lunch.

Any interested in coming who have not already been circularised should contact Loughton Branch by writing to: PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU.

NATIONALIST RESPONSE: Quarterly publication of South Wales BNP. Single copy: 50p inc. p&p. Annual subscription: £2.50. Issue No. 5 now available. Orders to PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley
PO Box 212, Bromley, Kent BR1 4JP

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

LOUGHTON
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

Lowestoft
33 Ashley Downs, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR32 5AD

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

WIRRAL
PO Box 17, Birkenhead L41 3SF

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

WEST YORKSHIRE
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

SOUTH YORKSHIRE
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

CLEVELAND
PO Box 67, Middlesborough TS1 4YY

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Wakefield, Sheffield, Sunderland and Cumbria. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

ATTACK ON WHITE BY ASIAN MOB

(Contd. from page 2)

which the violence seems to have been an end in itself. All the same, it is being regarded by police as a run-of-the-mill case of grievous bodily harm. It is not, in the official view, a 'racial attack'.

We are left wondering whether the failure to classify this brutal and cowardly attack as racially motivated is not part of a sinister new official policy of 'reverse discrimination' where such attacks are concerned. Naturally, we do not hold ordinary rank-and-file police officers responsible for any such policy; they are as much subject to the instructions of their political masters as the rest of the British people. The police would no doubt detest having to apply such rules as the rest of us detest being made to suffer from them.

But it is worthwhile recalling the policy line laid down by the new chief of London's police, Commissioner Imbert, when he took charge last year. Mr. Imbert said then that, under his regime, cases of 'racial harassment' or 'racial attack' — even where there is no violence and nothing worse happens than the utterance of a few unpleasant words — would receive **top priority** over other kinds of offence.

AGAINST WHITES

It was broadly hinted at the time that this policy was designed solely for the benefit of non-Whites as against Whites, and not the other way round. More recently, the race police 'racial harassment' campaign in Tower Hamlets has tended to bear this out.

We ask: Is Mr. Marsom's case an example of this policy in action? Are white people in London now officially regarded as not being the equals of non-Whites but their inferiors — and as such to be given inferior treatment under the law?

As things are, and given the way Mr. Marsom's case has been handled (i.e. just as a case of GBH), his being smashed over the head with a hammer and his skull being fractured rates as an offence of lower priority, according to Mr. Imbert's reasoning, than would the case of a coloured immigrant who might simply be the object of an uncomplimentary remark from a white person!

One thing we do know for sure is that the rulers of Westminster and Whitehall, and their appointed lackeys, are determined to go on forcing massed coloured immigration and race-mixing on the British people. To this end, the latter are to be intimidated, silenced and put down by all means available. It would be completely in keeping with this policy for the establishment to seize upon the notion of 'racial attacks', and deliberately to interpret it in such a way as to turn it into just another handy stick with which to batter the rank and file of ordinary Britons into submission.

OUR ANSWER

One last word on the subject of racial attacks and the like: Yes, there are plenty of racial troubles in Britain today. In fact, we nationalists warned from the first that this would be the inevitable consequence of turning our country into a multi-racial society.

But it is an outrageous lie, and an insult, to lay these troubles at the door of ordinary British folk, as the race-mixers impudently try to do. It was these race-mixers who, after all, were guilty of creating the multi-racial set-up in the first place — not the British people, who were never so much as given the chance even to vote on the issue!

The correct solution remains what we have always said it must be. The British people must vote the multi-racialists out of office forever. Then, with a nationalist government in power, the multi-racial experiment must be terminated by means of a humane programme of phased repatriation of all Afro-Asian immigrants and their descendants in this country.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '87

Video-recording of the BNP national rally in London on October 31st 1987. Hear speeches by Ronald Rickcord (chairman), Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall.
Price: £18 plus 64p p&p.

Sound Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's ecnomic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

TYNDALL SPEAKS IV

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; **Side 2:** Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These recordings are produced in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage).

All orders to: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.00 + 49p post
25 copies £4.50 + £1.60 post
50 copies £8.00 + £2.00 post
100 copies £14.00 + £2.65 post
150 copies £20.00 + £2.90 post
200 copies £25.00 + £3.25 post
300 copies £35.00 + £3.75 post
400 copies £44.00 + £4.75 post
500 copies £53.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £103.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

KEEP OUR INDUSTRY BRITISH!

(Contd. from page 2)

them, nothing has the quality of permanence, of family proprietorship; everything is to evaluated in terms of profit-and-loss utility.

We repudiate this philosophy at its very roots. That is why we believe that Rowntrees should stay British — and Leyland, and every other great manufacturing concern in this country too. And those companies that have been delivered to foreign ownership should be brought back under British ownership. This not only makes economic sense, it is also simple patriotism.

Do you believe that your next-door neighbour should own and control a substantial part of your house? Does Mrs. Thatcher? Do her fellow members of the government?

If, as is certainly the case, they do not, then they should apply the same rule to the economic assets of this country. They belong to us. They are our inheritance. They should NOT be for sale!

Building Fund: £3,809 needed!

£677 was received in contributions to the BNP Building Fund since our last issue. This is a great improvement on the figure reached in the previous month.

This leaves £3,809 still to be raised.

We hope that in the next month or so all supporters will dig deep into their pockets to help us keep up this excellent fund-raising rate. In the meantime, thanks to all for doing better!



All contributions should be marked 'Headquarters Fund' and sent to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

Ulster Trip for 12th July

A TEAM OF British National Party activists will be travelling to Northern Ireland to attend the July 12th march and distribute BNP literature in the province. The team will leave London early on July 11th to catch the Scottish ferry, picking people up *en route*, and will return on July 13th. New cost per person is £30 per head plus two night's B&B. All those interested please write for details to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

TYNDALL BOOK OUT SHORTLY!

JOHN TYNDALL'S BOOK, written mostly while the BNP leader was in prison from July to November 1986, serving a sentence imposed on him for speaking out against the multi-racial society, has now been completed and will in fact have been printed by the time this issue reaches the reader. After printing, it will be bound at a secret location and is expected to be ready for publication either in late June or early July.

The book will run to 609 pages excluding index) and is entitled *The Eleventh Hour*. It comprises a comprehensive outline of the case for British Nationalism and will come to represent the most formidable weapon yet in the nationalist armoury of printed propaganda. While the main part of the contents constitute an ideological message, ranging over the whole panorama of domestic and world issues involving Britain, running through the book is an autobiographical note, describing the author's origins and chronicling his political development and experiences up to and including the formation of the British National Party. Also included are some fascinating insights into matters of political strategy, tactics and organisation.

Costing of the book is still being worked out and prices have not yet therefore been finally determined. For this reason, readers are asked not to place any definite orders for the book. A review of *The Eleventh Hour*, together with details of prices for hardback and paperback editions, will be printed in next month's issue.

What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £4.30 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £5.75 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS